UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,429	08/07/2006	Norihiro Nishimoto	053466-0417	6508
	7590 11/06/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500		SPECTOR, LORRAINE		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/596,429	NISHIMOTO ET	NISHIMOTO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lorraine Spector	1647				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  Apply be timely filed  FHS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u>	luly 2009					
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.					
3)	, <del></del>						
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
· · ·	Claim(s) 29-42 is/are pending in the application	าท					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>30,32,33,37 and 40</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>30,32,33,37 and 40</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· —	5)						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ojootoa.					
	Claim(s) <u>29-42</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
·	· · · ——	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 9/16/09, 8/24/09.	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application _·				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

Claims 29, 31, 34-36, 38, 39, 41 and 42 are under consideration. The new title of the invention is acknowledged.

All previous rejections have been overcome by the amendment of the claims to delete prevention, and the submission of the appropriate deposit statement. New rejections apply.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 29, 34-36, 38, 39 and 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Okuda et al., U.S. PG-PUB Number 2006/0251653.

Okuda teaches a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of IL-6 related diseases, comprising an IL-6 antagonist (claim1) which may be an antibody (Ab) to the IL-6 receptor (claim 6), monoclonal (claim 7), recombinant (claim 10), PM-1 antibody (claim 11, Chimeric, humanized or "human type", humanized PM-1 (claim 13-14), and may be used in the treatment of vasculitis (claim 15). Paragraphs [0002], [0006] and others also specifically contemplate treatment. Accordingly, the claims are anticipated by Okuda et al.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Giles-Komar et al., U.S. Patent Number 7,612,1821.

Giles-Komar et al. teach methods of treatment using chimerical, humanized and/or CDR grafted anti-IL6 antibodies for the purpose of neutralizing human IL-6 with high affinity; see column 3 starting at line 29. At column 37, they teach tht the invention also provides a

treatment for "at least one IL-6 mediated immune disease", which list includes vasculitis; see paragraph beginning at line 19.

Accordingly, the claim is anticipated.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Samid, U.S. Patent Number 5,843,994. Samid teaches treatment of vasculitis using an IL-6 inhibiting amount of phenylacetic acid derivative; see the paragraph at the top of column 9, and column 67, for example. Accordingly, the claim is anticipated.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1647

Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Okuda et al., Giles-Komar et al., or Samid, any of the three in view of Hirohata et al., Clinical Immunology and Immunopathology 66:225, 1993.

The teachings of the primary references are discussed above. Although they teach treatment of vasculitis, they do not specifically mention the form of vasculitis known as polyarteritis nodosa, as claimed in Claim 31.

Hirohata et al. teach that polyarteritis nodosa is associated is marked elevation of CSF IL-6 activity in parallel with CNS disease activity, and showed elevation of serum IL-6 in association with systemic systems.

Accordingly, in view of Hirohata's teaching, the person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to treat polyarteritis nodosa IL-6 inhibitors such as those taught by the primary references. Accordingly, the invention as claimed is *prima facie* obvious.

## Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,429

Art Unit: 1647

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Lorraine M. Spector. Dr. Spector can normally be reached Monday from 8:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M., and Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, 8:00 A.M. to 2:00 P.M. at telephone number 571-272-0893.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact the Examiner's supervisor, Dr. Gary Nickol, at telephone number 571-272-0835.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to **571-273-8300**. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to **571-273-0893**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lorraine Spector, Ph.D. /Lorraine Spector/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1647

Page 5